

# 1 ESPResSo++: A Fast and Extensible Molecular 2 Simulation Package for Coarse-Grained Models

3 Zhen-Hao Xu<sup>4</sup>, James Vance<sup>4</sup>, Nikita Tretyakov<sup>4</sup>, Sebastian Eibl<sup>2</sup>, Pavel  
4 Kus<sup>2</sup>, Jakub Krajniak<sup>6</sup>, Tristan Bereau<sup>5</sup>, Horacio V. Guzman<sup>7</sup>, Bin  
5 Song<sup>1</sup>, Markus Rampp<sup>2</sup>, Torsten Stuehn<sup>1</sup>, and Christoph Junghans<sup>3</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup> Max Planck Institute for Polymer Research, Mainz, Germany <sup>2</sup> Max Planck Computing and Data  
7 Facility, Garching, Germany <sup>3</sup> Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, USA <sup>4</sup> Johannes Gutenberg  
8 University of Mainz, Mainz, Germany <sup>5</sup> Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany <sup>6</sup> Independent  
9 researcher, Poznań, Poland <sup>7</sup> Institut de Ciència de Materials, Barcelona, Spain

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

## Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

Editor: ↗

Submitted: 07 March 2026

Published: unpublished

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright  
and release the work under a  
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0  
International License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).

## 10 Summary

11 ESPResSo++ is an open-source software package for molecular dynamics (MD) simulations  
12 with a particular emphasis on coarse-grained (CG) models of soft matter systems ([Praprotnik et  
13 al., 2008](#)). Written in C++ with a flexible Python interface, it is designed for high-performance  
14 computing (HPC) environments and supports massively parallel simulations through MPI. The  
15 package enables simulations of polymers, membranes, colloids and complex fluids with a wide  
16 range of interaction models and advanced algorithms.

17 ESPResSo++ builds upon the experience of its predecessor [ESPResSo](#), but provides a cleaner,  
18 more modular codebase and enhanced extensibility. It is actively developed by an international  
19 community of researchers across multiple institutions and disciplines.

## 20 Statement of need

21 Molecular dynamics simulations are essential tools for exploring the behavior of soft matter  
22 systems at mesoscopic scales. General-purpose MD codes such as GROMACS ([Abraham et al.,  
23 2015](#)) and LAMMPS ([Thompson et al., 2022](#)) are primarily optimized for all-atom simulations  
24 and may require significant customization for coarse-grained models that need non-standard  
25 interactions or specialized multiscale algorithms. ESPResSo++ addresses this gap by providing:

- 26 ▪ A modular and extensible design, enabling researchers to easily implement new interaction  
27 potentials and integrators.
- 28 ▪ Efficient parallelization for large-scale simulations of complex systems.
- 29 ▪ A rich library of coarse-grained interaction models and algorithms tailored to soft matter.
- 30 ▪ A Python-based scripting interface for ease of use, reproducibility, and coupling with  
31 external analysis tools.
- 32 ▪ Multi-scale simulation techniques such as AdResS and Lees-Edwards.

## 33 State of the field

34 Several established MD packages serve the soft matter and coarse-grained simulation  
35 communities. LAMMPS ([Thompson et al., 2022](#)) is a general-purpose, highly scalable code  
36 with broad force field support and a flexible plugin architecture; however, its input scripting  
37 language is less suited to complex CG workflows, and adaptive resolution is not a core feature.  
38 GROMACS ([Abraham et al., 2015](#)) provides exceptional performance for standard force

39 fields and supports the Martini CG model ecosystem, but adding truly novel CG interactions  
40 requires modifying the C++ source, and its file-based workflow is less interactive than a  
41 Python API. **HOOMD-blue** (Anderson et al., 2020) is the most directly comparable package,  
42 offering a Python-native API and GPU-accelerated CG simulations for soft matter; however, it  
43 lacks support for adaptive resolution methods. **OpenMM** (Eastman et al., 2017) excels at  
44 GPU-accelerated simulations and allows custom force definitions via algebraic expressions,  
45 but targets primarily biomolecular systems and lacks MPI-based multi-node parallelization.  
46 The sibling project **ESPresSo** (Weik et al., 2019) shares common roots but focuses on  
47 charged systems, hydrodynamic coupling (lattice Boltzmann), and electrokinetics rather than  
48 multiscale resolution bridging.

49 ESPResSo++ occupies a distinct niche as a package purpose-built for coarse-grained and  
50 multiscale soft matter simulations. Its key differentiator is first-class support for adaptive  
51 resolution simulation (AdResS), which allows seamless coupling of atomistic and coarse-  
52 grained representations within a single simulation. ESPResSo++ is currently the only actively  
53 maintained MD package that provides AdResS as a core feature; none of the other packages  
54 listed above offer this capability. It is worth noting that these capabilities were also contributed  
55 to existing general-purpose codes (Fritsch et al., 2012; Junghans & Poblete, 2010; Nagarajan  
56 et al., 2013), but got removed again later, hence a dedicated package allows tighter integration  
57 of multiscale algorithms with the domain decomposition, neighbor list construction, and force  
58 computation pipeline — design choices that would be difficult to retrofit into architectures  
59 optimized for all-atom throughput.

## 60 Software design

61 ESPResSo++ uses a layered C++/Python architecture. The performance-critical  
62 computational kernel (force calculations, integration, domain decomposition, and  
63 communication) is implemented in C++17 and exposed to Python through Boost.Python  
64 bindings. Each C++ class provides a static registerPython() method, and a central  
65 registration dispatcher ensures that the full object hierarchy is available as the espressopp  
66 Python module. This design lets users assemble, configure, and control simulations entirely  
67 from Python while retaining the performance of compiled C++ for the inner loops.

### 68 Modularity through templates and extensions.

69 Interactions are implemented using C++ class templates parameterized by a potential type (e.g.,  
70 VerletListInteractionTemplate<\_Potential>), so that adding a new pairwise potential  
71 requires only implementing the computeEnergy() and computeForce() methods of a Potential  
72 subclass. The integrator follows a similar pattern: an MDIntegrator base class exposes an  
73 addExtension() mechanism through which thermostats, barostats, constraints, and adaptive  
74 resolution layers are attached via Boost.Signals2 callbacks. This signal-based coupling keeps  
75 extensions decoupled from the integration loop and from each other.

### 76 Parallelization.

77 ESPResSo++ employs spatial domain decomposition with MPI. The simulation box is  
78 partitioned across MPI ranks using a NodeGrid, and each rank further subdivides its domain  
79 into linked cells via a CellGrid. Ghost particle exchange handles communication of boundary  
80 data. A non-blocking variant (DomainDecompositionNonBlocking) overlaps communication  
81 with computation, and the heterogeneous spatial domain decomposition algorithm (HeSpaDDA)  
82 (Guzman et al., 2017) provides load balancing for spatially inhomogeneous systems.

### 83 Performance optimizations.

84 Since version 2.0 (Guzman et al., 2019), ESPResSo++ has been modernized with SIMD  
85 vectorization through a structure-of-arrays (SOA) particle data layout (ParticleArray) with  
86 64-byte alignment, yielding an overall three-times speedup for short-range non-bonded force  
87 calculations (Vance et al., 2023). An improved cell decomposition scheme (Yao et al., 2004)  
88 allows sub-decomposition into cells with a length of half or a third of the cutoff radius, reducing

89 the number of unnecessary distance calculations.

#### 90 **Testing and documentation.**

91 The code is tested through a combination of Boost.Test (C++) and Python unittest test  
92 suites, executed via CMake/CTest and continuous integration on GitHub Actions. User  
93 documentation is built with Sphinx; developer API documentation with Doxygen.

### 94 **Research impact statement**

95 ESPResSo++ has enabled research across a broad range of soft matter topics over more than  
96 a decade of active development. It has been used in numerous peer-reviewed studies, including  
97 investigations of:

- 98     ▪ Polymer rheology and entanglement effects ([Grommes et al., 2021, 2022, 2024, 2025](#);  
99         [Grommes & Reith, 2020](#); [Hsu & Kremer, 2020, 2023, 2024](#); [Lee & Paul, 2020](#); [Ohkuma  
100 et al., 2023](#); [Singh et al., 2020](#); [Tubiana et al., 2021](#); [Zhao, Singh, et al., 2020](#))
- 101     ▪ Lipid membranes, protein and vesicle dynamics ([Bause & Berau, 2021](#); [Papež et al.,  
102 2023](#); [Zhao, Cortes-Huerta, et al., 2020](#))
- 103     ▪ Adaptive resolution simulations ([Fiorentini et al., 2020](#); [Thaler et al., 2020](#))
- 104     ▪ Ionic liquids under shear flow ([Gholami et al., 2025](#); [Zhang et al., 2021](#))
- 105     ▪ Coarse-grained conformational surface hopping ([Rudzinski & Berau, 2020](#))

106 The project is developed across multiple institutions — Max Planck Institute for Polymer  
107 Research, Max Planck Computing and Data Facility, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Johannes  
108 Gutenberg University of Mainz, and Heidelberg University — with contributions from both  
109 current and former developers documented in the AUTHORS file. The codebase has a public  
110 development history spanning more than ten years on GitHub, with continuous integration,  
111 code coverage tracking, and versioned releases.

### 112 **Functionality**

113 Key features of ESPResSo++ include:

- 114     ▪ **Inter-particle interactions:** Lennard-Jones, Coulomb, soft repulsive, bonded interactions,  
115         tabulated potentials, and more.
- 116     ▪ **Algorithms:** Molecular dynamics, Langevin dynamics, dissipative particle dynamics  
117         (DPD), Brownian dynamics, adaptive resolution simulations (AdResS), Monte Carlo  
118         sampling.
- 119     ▪ **Electrostatics:** Particle–particle particle–mesh (P3M), Ewald summation, and other  
120         long-range methods.
- 121     ▪ **Parallelization:** Domain decomposition using MPI, optimized for massively parallel  
122         architectures.
- 123     ▪ **Python interface:** Full simulation control and analysis scripting in Python.
- 124     ▪ **Extensibility:** Modular design allows easy addition of new force fields, integrators, or  
125         analysis tools.

### 126 **New Features since last release**

127 Since the last major release of ESPResSo++ v2.0 in 2018 ([Guzman et al., 2019](#)) a number of  
128 new functionalities and features have been added, including:

- 129     ▪ **SIMD vectorization and related optimizations:** enhance compute performance on modern  
130         CPUs ([Vance et al., 2023](#))
- 131     ▪ **Cell decomposition:** allow sub-decomposition into cells with a length of half or a third  
132         of the cutoff for direct force calculations ([Yao et al., 2004](#))

- 133     ▪ **HeSpaDDA**: heterogeneous spatial domain decomposition algorithm (HeSpaDDA) for  
134     larger scale simulations ([Guzman et al., 2017](#))  
135     ▪ **new potentials and simulation methods**: AngularCosineSquared, TabulatedSubEnsAngular,  
136     surface hopping MD, Lees-Edwards boundary conditions  
137     ▪ **Checkpoint the state of the random number generator (RNG)**: allow restarting from  
138     checkpointed state of RNG  
139     ▪ **I/O**: support for parallel writing and reading of H5MD checkpoints  
140     ▪ **Python 3 compatibility**

## 141 Example usage

142 A minimal Python script to run a simple (repulsive only) Lennard-Jones type particle simulation  
143 in ESPResSo++ looks like:

```
import espressopp
from mpi4py import MPI

# simulation system parameters
num_particles = 10000 # total number of particles in the system
box           = (20,20,20) # size of the simulationbox (all length are in sigma)
rc           = 1.12246 # cut off for the short range non bonded potential
skin        = 0.3 # skin used for verlet neighbor list
dt          = 0.005 # time step for 1 md step
epsilon     = 1.0 # energy unit
sigma      = 1.0 # length unit
temperature = 1.0 # temperature of the simulation
LJcaprad   = 0.8 # initial capping radius for LJ interaction
            # for random configurations

# system setup
system      = espressopp.System()
system.rng  = espressopp.esutil.RNG()
system.bc   = espressopp.bc.OrthorhombicBC(system.rng, box)
system.skin = skin

# define underlying storage system for parallelisation
nodeGrid    = espressopp.tools.decomp.nodeGrid(MPI.COMM_WORLD.size,box,rc,skin)
cellGrid    = espressopp.tools.decomp.cellGrid(box, nodeGrid, rc, skin)
system.storage = espressopp.storage.DomainDecomposition(system, nodeGrid, cellGrid)

# interaction setup, here short range non-bonded Lennard Jones potential
interaction  = espressopp.interaction.VerletListLennardJonesCapped(
                espressopp.VerletList(system, cutoff=rc))
interaction.setPotential(type1=0, type2=0,
                        potential=espressopp.interaction.LennardJonesCapped(
                            epsilon, sigma, rc, shift='auto', caprad=LJcaprad))
system.addInteraction(interaction)

# integrator setup
integrator   = espressopp.integrator.VelocityVerlet(system)
integrator.dt = dt

# thermostat setup
thermostat  = espressopp.integrator.LangevinThermostat(system)
thermostat.gamma = 1.0
```

```
thermostat.temperature = temperature
integrator.addExtension(thermostat)

# create random particle setup in the simulation box
props = ['id', 'type', 'mass', 'pos', 'v']
new_particles = []
pid = 1
while pid <= num_particles:
    type = 0
    mass = 1.0
    pos = system.bc.getRandomPos()
    vel = espressopp.Real3D(0.0, 0.0, 0.0)
    part = [pid, type, mass, pos, vel]
    new_particles.append(part)
    if pid % 1000 == 0:
        system.storage.addParticles(new_particles, *props)
        system.storage.decompose()
        new_particles = []
    pid += 1
system.storage.addParticles(new_particles, *props)

integrator.dt = 0.0001 # very small timestep for initial warmup
for n in range(20):
    # warmup finished, switch to uncapped Lennard Jones potential and increase timestep
    if n == 10:
        interaction = espressopp.interaction.VerletListLennardJones(
            espressopp.VerletList(system, cutoff=rc))
        interaction.setPotential(type1=0, type2=0,
            potential=espressopp.interaction.LennardJones(
                epsilon, sigma, rc, shift='auto'))
        system.removeInteraction(0)
        system.addInteraction(interaction)
        integrator.dt = 0.005
    integrator.run(10000)
    Etot = system.getInteraction(0).computeEnergy()
    print("md time = {:4.1f}, total energy: {:10.2f}".format(integrator.dt*n*10000, Etot))

# write PDB file of (quasi) equilibrated LJ system.
# At this temperature it is more or less crystallized.
espressopp.tools.pdbwrite("simplelj.pdb", system, molsize=num_particles)
```

## 144 AI usage disclosure

145 No generative AI tools were used in the creation of the ESPResSo++ software or its  
146 documentation. During the preparation of this manuscript, AI-assisted tools were used  
147 for copyediting and formatting. All content was reviewed and verified by the authors.

## 148 Acknowledgements

149 We thank the ESPResSo++ developer community and all contributors listed in the AUTHORS  
150 file. ESPResSo++ has been supported by the Transregio TRR146 of the German Research  
151 Foundation. The ESPResSo++ project is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy through  
152 Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by

- 153 Triad National Security, LLC, for the National Nuclear Security Administration of the U.S.  
154 Department of Energy (contract no. 89233218CNA000001). This paper has been assigned a  
155 Los Alamos Unlimited Release number of LA-UR-26-21700.
- 156 Abraham, M. J., Murtola, T., Schulz, R., Páll, S., Smith, J. C., Hess, B., & Lindahl, E. (2015).  
157 GROMACS: High performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from  
158 laptops to supercomputers. *SoftwareX*, 1–2, 19–25. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2015.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2015.06.001)  
159 [06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2015.06.001)
- 160 Anderson, J. A., Glaser, J., & Glotzer, S. C. (2020). HOOMD-blue: A python package for high-  
161 performance molecular dynamics and hard particle monte carlo simulations. *Computational*  
162 *Materials Science*, 173, 109363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109363>
- 163 Bause, M., & Berau, T. (2021). Reweighting non-equilibrium steady-state dynamics along  
164 collective variables. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 154(13). [https://doi.org/10.1063/5.](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0042972)  
165 [0042972](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0042972)
- 166 Eastman, P., Swails, J., Chodera, J. D., McGibbon, R. T., Zhao, Y., Beauchamp, K. A.,  
167 Wang, L.-P., Simmonett, A. C., Harrigan, M. P., Stern, C. D., Wiewiora, R. P., Brooks,  
168 B. R., & Pande, V. S. (2017). OpenMM 7: Rapid development of high performance  
169 algorithms for molecular dynamics. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 13(7), e1005659.  
170 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005659>
- 171 Fiorentini, R., Kremer, K., & Potestio, R. (2020). Ligand-protein interactions in lysozyme  
172 investigated through a dual-resolution model. *Proteins: Structure, Function, and*  
173 *Bioinformatics*, 88(10), 1351–1360. <https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.25954>
- 174 Fritsch, S., Junghans, C., & Kremer, K. (2012). Structure formation of toluene around C60:  
175 Implementation of the adaptive resolution scheme (AdResS) into GROMACS. *Journal of*  
176 *Chemical Theory and Computation*, 8(2), 398–403. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ct200706f>
- 177 Gholami, A., Kloth, S., Xu, Z.-H., Kremer, K., Vogel, M., Stuehn, T., & Rudzinski, J. F.  
178 (2025). Structure and dynamics of ionic liquids under shear flow. *The Journal of Chemical*  
179 *Physics*, 163(7). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0279946>
- 180 Grommes, D., Bruch, O., Imhof, W., & Reith, D. (2025). Coarse-grained molecular dynamics  
181 study of the melting dynamics in long alkanes. *Polymers*, 17(18). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym17182500)  
182 [3390/polym17182500](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym17182500)
- 183 Grommes, D., Bruch, O., & Reith, D. (2024). Mimicking polymer processing conditions on the  
184 meso-scale: Relaxation and crystallization in polyethylene systems after uni- and biaxial  
185 stretching. *Molecules*, 29(14), 3391. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29143391>
- 186 Grommes, D., & Reith, D. (2020). Determination of relevant mechanical properties for the  
187 production process of polyethylene by using mesoscale molecular simulation techniques.  
188 *Soft Materials*, 18(2–3), 242–261. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1539445x.2020.1722692>
- 189 Grommes, D., Schenk, M. R., Bruch, O., & Reith, D. (2021). Investigation of crystallization  
190 and relaxation effects in coarse-grained polyethylene systems after uniaxial stretching.  
191 *Polymers*, 13(24), 4466. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13244466>
- 192 Grommes, D., Schenk, M. R., Bruch, O., & Reith, D. (2022). Initial crystallization effects  
193 in coarse-grained polyethylene systems after uni- and biaxial stretching in blow-molding  
194 cooling scenarios. *Polymers*, 14(23), 5144. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14235144>
- 195 Guzman, H. V., Junghans, C., Kremer, K., & Stuehn, T. (2017). Scalable and fast  
196 heterogeneous molecular simulation with predictive parallelization schemes. *Physical*  
197 *Review E*, 96, 053311. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.053311>
- 198 Guzman, H. V., Tretyakov, N., Kobayashi, H., Fogarty, A. C., Kreis, K., Krajniak, J., Junghans,  
199 C., Kremer, K., & Stuehn, T. (2019). ESPResSo++ 2.0: Advanced methods for multiscale  
200 molecular simulation. *Computer Physics Communications*, 238, 66–76. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2019.06.031)

- 201 [1016/j.cpc.2018.12.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2018.12.017)
- 202 Hsu, H.-P., & Kremer, K. (2020). Efficient equilibration of confined and free-standing  
203 films of highly entangled polymer melts. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 153(14).  
204 <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0022781>
- 205 Hsu, H.-P., & Kremer, K. (2023). Glass transition temperature of (ultra-)thin polymer films.  
206 *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 159(7). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0165902>
- 207 Hsu, H.-P., & Kremer, K. (2024). Entanglement-stabilized nanoporous polymer films made by  
208 mechanical deformation. *Macromolecules*, 57(6), 2998–3012. [https://doi.org/10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.4c00187)  
209 [acs.macromol.4c00187](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.4c00187)
- 210 Junghans, C., & Poblete, S. (2010). A reference implementation of the adaptive resolution  
211 scheme in ESPResSo. *Computer Physics Communications*, 181(8), 1449–1454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2010.04.013>
- 212
- 213 Lee, E., & Paul, W. (2020). Additional entanglement effect imposed by small sized ring  
214 aggregates in supramolecular polymer melts: Molecular dynamics simulation study.  
215 *Macromolecules*, 53(5), 1674–1684. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.9b02209>
- 216 Nagarajan, A., Junghans, C., & Matysiak, S. (2013). Multiscale simulation of liquid water using  
217 a four-to-one mapping for coarse-graining. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*,  
218 9(11), 5168–5175. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ct400566j>
- 219 Ohkuma, T., Hagita, K., Murashima, T., & Deguchi, T. (2023). Miscibility and exchange  
220 chemical potential of ring polymers in symmetric ring–ring blends. *Soft Matter*, 19(21),  
221 3818–3827. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3sm00108c>
- 222 Papež, P., Merzel, F., & Praprotnik, M. (2023). Rotational dynamics of a protein under shear  
223 flow studied by the eckart frame formalism. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 127(33),  
224 7231–7243. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpccb.3c02324>
- 225 Praprotnik, M., Junghans, C., Delle Site, L., & Kremer, K. (2008). Simulation approaches  
226 to soft matter: Generic statistical properties vs. Chemical details. *Computer Physics*  
227 *Communications*, 179(1–3), 51–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.018>
- 228 Rudzinski, J. F., & Bereau, T. (2020). Coarse-grained conformational surface hopping:  
229 Methodology and transferability. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 153(21). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0031249>
- 230
- 231 Singh, M. K., Hu, M., Cang, Y., Hsu, H.-P., Therien-Aubin, H., Koynov, K., Fytas, G.,  
232 Landfester, K., & Kremer, K. (2020). Glass transition of disentangled and entangled  
233 polymer melts: Single-chain-nanoparticles approach. *Macromolecules*, 53(17), 7312–7321.  
234 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.0c00550>
- 235 Thaler, S., Praprotnik, M., & Zavadlav, J. (2020). Back-mapping augmented adaptive  
236 resolution simulation. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 153(16). [https://doi.org/10.1063/](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0025728)  
237 [5.0025728](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0025728)
- 238 Thompson, A. P., Aktulga, H. M., Berger, R., Bolintineanu, D. S., Brown, W. M., Crozier, P.  
239 S., in 't Veld, P. J., Kohlmeyer, A., Moore, S. G., Nguyen, T. D., Shan, R., Stevens, M. J.,  
240 Tranchida, J., Trott, C., & Plimpton, S. J. (2022). LAMMPS - a flexible simulation tool for  
241 particle-based materials modeling at the atomic, meso, and continuum scales. *Computer*  
242 *Physics Communications*, 271, 108171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2021.108171>
- 243 Tubiana, L., Kobayashi, H., Potestio, R., Dünweg, B., Kremer, K., Virnau, P., & Daoulas,  
244 K. (2021). Comparing equilibration schemes of high-molecular-weight polymer melts  
245 with topological indicators. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 33(20), 204003.  
246 <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648x/abf20c>
- 247 Vance, J., Xu, Z.-H., Tretyakov, N., Stuehn, T., Rampp, M., Eibl, S., Junghans, C., &

- 248 Brinkmann, A. (2023). Code modernization strategies for short-range non-bonded molecular  
249 dynamics simulations. *Computer Physics Communications*, 290, 108760. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2023.108760)  
250 [10.1016/j.cpc.2023.108760](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2023.108760)
- 251 Weik, F., Weeber, R., Szuttor, K., Breitsprecher, K., Graaf, J. de, Kuber, M., Kuron, J.,  
252 McNamara, S. P., Menzel, A., & Holm, C. (2019). ESPResSo 4.0 – an extensible software  
253 package for simulating soft matter systems. *The European Physical Journal Special Topics*,  
254 227(14), 1789–1816. <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2019-800186-9>
- 255 Yao, Z., Wang, J.-S., Liu, G.-R., & Cheng, M. (2004). Improved neighbor list algorithm in  
256 molecular simulations using cell decomposition and data sorting method. *Computer Physics*  
257 *Communications*, 161(1), 27–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2004.04.004>
- 258 Zhang, Z., Krajniak, J., & Ganesan, V. (2021). A multiscale simulation study of influence of  
259 morphology on ion transport in block copolymeric ionic liquids. *Macromolecules*, 54(11),  
260 4997–5010. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.1c00025>
- 261 Zhao, Y., Cortes-Huerto, R., Kremer, K., & Rudzinski, J. F. (2020). Investigating the  
262 conformational ensembles of intrinsically disordered proteins with a simple physics-based  
263 model. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 124(20), 4097–4113. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.0c01949)  
264 [1021/acs.jpcc.0c01949](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.0c01949)
- 265 Zhao, Y., Singh, M. K., Kremer, K., Cortes-Huerto, R., & Mukherji, D. (2020). Why do  
266 elastin-like polypeptides possibly have different solvation behaviors in water–ethanol and  
267 water–urea mixtures? *Macromolecules*, 53(6), 2101–2110. [https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.9b02123)  
268 [macromol.9b02123](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.9b02123)

DRAFT